

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,386	02/06/2004	Junichi Tamamoto	500,43486X00	6779	
20457 7599 069150011 ANTONELL, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			EXAM	MINER	
			KUMAR	KUMAR, RAKESH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AREHANTON, VA ZEEDS-3013			3651	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/15/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/772.386 TAMAMOTO, JUNICHI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RAKESH KUMAR -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2011. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

ΔV	Claim(a)	1 20 10/01	nonding in	the application.
41121	Claim(s)	1-29 IS/are	e penaina ir	i the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.4-6.13.22-24 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 16 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 1,3,7-12,14,15.17-21,25-27 and 29 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>	

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(	S
-------------	---

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Iviail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application</li> </ol>
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/772,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

## Final Rejection

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Referring to claim 16. Claim recites the limitations "J, L and h" in line 18. There is no description as to what the disclosed variables represent, furthermore the cited variable are not discloses in the applicants drawings. Appropriate action is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (US 7.296.795).

Application/Control Number: 10/772,386

Art Unit: 3651

Referring to claim 16. Kawasaki discloses an apparatus for handling sheets (Figure 17), comprising:

a movable sheet transfer member (1d) having a transfer surface (surface of 1d) for contacting a selected one of the sheet (P) and transferring the selected sheet (P) along a transfer direction (forward direction as shown in Figure 17);

a sheet supporting surface area (10a) for contacting the selected sheet (P), and an information reader (20) arranged along the transfer direction so as to face a surface the selected sheet (P; see Figure 17) which is being transferred and delimiting an information reading range (above member 4) within which the information contained on the selected sheet (P) is readable from the selected sheet (P) by the information reader, the information reading range including an information reading point (above member 4) at which the information contained on the selected sheet is read,

wherein when viewed from a direction perpendicular to a thickness direction (as in Figure 17) and the transfer direction (sheet feeding direction) of the selected sheet (P) such that the sheet supporting surface area (including 4 and 10a) would be extendable in a direction parallel to a support line direction (see parallel orientation of member 10a) which passes the information reading range (above member 20).

Kawasaki does not specifically disclose an equation as being satisfied.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Kawasaki to have included an incline angle wherein the transferred sheet intersects the sheet supporting surface area

Application/Control Number: 10/772,386

Art Unit: 3651

by varying the contact force of the sheet transfer surface because it would allow the movement of the transfer sheet in a more controlled manner.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1,3,7-12,14,15,17-21,25-27 and 29 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See new rejections above.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/772,386

Art Unit: 3651

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAKESH KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-8314. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651 Application/Control Number: 10/772,386 Page 6

Art Unit: 3651

/RAKESH KUMAR/ Examiner, Art Unit 3651